Online tender for fixing rate contract for supply and installation

of EMG and NCV study to various Govt. Institutions - Reg.

Tender No. E1172/EMG/TNHSRP/TNMSC/ENGG/2024, Dt: 20.05.2024

| SI. No | Name of the Bidder | Technical Evaluation Report | Commercial Evaluation Report | Reason for rejection |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | M/s. Allengers Global Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. Make: Allengers Global Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. Model: Scorpio-4 (EMG NCV EP machine 4 channels) | Responsive | Responsive | Nil |
| 2 | M/s. Cnergy Incorporation Make: Clarity Medical, India Model: EMG Octopus | Non- Responsive | Non- Responsive | As per the technical evaluation report of the Specialist, the model quoted by the bidder did not meet the following tender specifications points:- vii. Shock stimulator should have Rotary knob to control the current and FND display (Optional) to show the current value. This feature is not available in the quoted model. viii. EMG software and drivers must be digitally certified by Microsoft's authorized certifying authority to ensure reliability and security. This certification was not provided by the bidder. ix. The tender required a maximum of 9999 averages per channel, but the quoted model supports upto 5000 averages. x. FND for current value on shock stimulator Handle was required but is not available in the quoted model. xi. Against the Frequency range of 250 Hz to 8000 Hz, the quoted model is limited to 7500 Hz. |

| SI. No | Name of the Bidder | Technical Evaluation Report | Commercial Evaluation Report | Reason for rejection |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | xii. Against the battery Backup time for minimum 4 hours when fully charged, the quoted model provides only 3 hours of backup. |
| | | | | Hence not recommended by the Specialist. |
| | | | | The bidder did not satisfy the following commercial points:- |
| | | | | As a non-manufacturer, the bidder did not submit documentary evidence for supply of atleast 1 no. of the quoted item with a minimum of 1 year performance on the date of bid opening. |
| | | | | The bidder, on behalf of manufacturer, did not submit an affidavit. Hence the documentary evidence submitted for manufacturer could not be considered. |
| | | | | Hence not recommended. |