

**TENDER FOR FIXING RATE CONTRACT FOR SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF
WALK IN COOLER, 10KVA GENERATOR, ICE LINED REFRIGERATOR, CHEST
FREEZER/DEEP FREEZER, VACCINE CARRIER AND REFRIGERATOR TO VARIOUS
GOVT. INSTITUTIONS IN THE STATE**

TENDER NO. 550/REF/AHD/TNMSC/ENGG/2020, DT. 09.01.2020

RESULTS OF EVALUATION AND FINALLY SHORTLISTED BIDDERS

I. WALK IN COOLER- 5 NOS:

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. Mech Air Industries Make: Own	Rejected on Bid security.		
2.	M/s. Eakcon Systems Pvt Ltd Model: EAK-WIC-2020 Make: Own	Responsive	Responsive	-----
3.	M/s. Tirupati Trade Link Make: M/s. Phoenix Refrigeration Pvt Ltd	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Not furnished end user certificate with supporting documents viz. PO/ installation copy along with the affidavit for the supplies made in any one of the last 5 yrs with satisfactory performance for 1 year for the supplies made by them as a non-manufacturer and also for their manufacturer supply, cash credit limit certificate etc. In the bid and clarification, furnished only PO copies without any supporting documents.
4.	M/s. Blue Star Limited Make: Own	Responsive	Non-Responsive	M/s. Blue Star Limited has quoted the schedule Walk in cooler with 10KVA Generator as per the tender document and not as a separate schedule

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				as per the corrigendum. Hence non-responsive.

II. 10KVA GENERATOR- 5 NOS:

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. Tirupati Trade Link Make: Eicher	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	As per the technical evaluation report, there is only one cylinder will be supplied against the tender requirement of 2 or 3. With regard to the same, the firm in their mail dt. 03.08.2021 has confirmed that the generator quoted by them consists of Eicher make Diesel Engine. It develops 18.7 BHP power using a single cylinder which is at par with any other similar capacity diesel engine available. The no. of cylinders used in particular diesel engine depends on the design of different engine manufacturers. But no way can no. of cylinders be used to judge engine performance, efficiency or power output. From the above, it may be seen that the generator develops power using single cylinder and not 2 or 3 cylinders.

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				<p>Also not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz. PO/Installation report along with the affidavit with 1 year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 years for the supplies made by them as a non-manufacturer and also for their manufacturer supply, Cash credit limit certificate, Manufacturer authorization form from M/s. Eicher etc.</p>
2.	<p>M/s. Chennai Diesel Pvt Ltd. Make: M/s. Cooper Corporation Pvt Ltd</p>	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p>As per the technical evaluation report, the model does not meet with the following points of the technical specification of the tender, hence not recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Cooling system: Liquid cooled against the tender requirement of air cooled. b. 75 litres fuel tank capacity against the tender requirement of 100 litres. <p>Also not furnished end user certificates for the PO furnished for the supplies made by them as a non-manufacturer with one year satisfactory performance. Not furnished end user certificates</p>

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				with supporting documents viz PO/Installation report along with the affidavit for the supplies made by their Manufacturer with one year performance in any one of the last 5 years, Cash credit limit certificate etc.
3.	M/s. Blue Star Ltd. Make: Own	Responsive	Non-Responsive	M/s. Blue Star Limited has quoted the schedule Walk in cooler with 10KVA Generator as per the tender document and not as a separate schedule as per the corrigendum. Hence non-responsive.
4.	M/s. Mech Air Industries	Rejected on Bid security.		

III. ICE LINED REFRIGERATOR (OPTION 1- 480 NOS/ OPTION 2- 480 NOS):

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products (Option I) Model: VCIL-350 Make: M/s. Cold Chain Controls	Responsive	Responsive	-----
2.	M/s. Eakcon Systems Pvt Ltd (Option I) Model: EAK-ILRI-300 Make: Own	Non-Responsive	Non - Responsive	As per the demonstration report, specialists have stated that Corrosion observed (rust formation due to internal temperature) in the inner chamber which indicates that the medical grade 304 Steel is

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				<p>not used and vaccine storage space is very less due to internal basket design. No audio visual alarm support for indicating the unsafe temperature deviation and in case of temperature deviation, alarm has to work automatically to safeguard the life saving vaccine and also it was noted that the operating voltage/ Ampere indicators were not present in the control panel and the final finish is like a local make and hence not recommended.</p> <p>Also not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/ installation report with one year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 yrs along with the affidavit for the supplies made for them as a manufacturer. The firm has furnished one year satisfactory performance for the dates prior to last 5 years. Hence non-responsive</p>
3.	M/s. Eakcon Systems Pvt Ltd (Option II) Model: EAK-ILRII-300	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Did not demonstrate. Also not furnished end user

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
	Make: Own			certificates with supporting documents viz PO/ installation report with one year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 yrs along with the affidavit for the supplies made for them as a manufacturer. The firm has furnished one year satisfactory performance for the dates prior to last 5 years. Hence non responsive
4.	M/s. Mech Air Industries. Make: Own	Rejected on Bid security		
5.	M/s. Godrej & Boyce Mfg Co. Ltd (Option II) Make: Own	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/installation report for 15 nos. in any one of the last 5 years with one year satisfactory performance for the supplies made by them as a Manufacturer, Cash credit limit certificate.
6.	M/s. Tirupati Trade link Make: M/s. Vestfrost	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	Did not demonstrate. Also not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz. PO/Installation report along with the affidavit with 1 year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 years for the supplies made by them as a non-

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				manufacturer and also for their manufacturer supply, Cash credit limit certificate.

IV. CHEST FREEZER/DEEP FREEZER- 480 NOS.

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. Eakcon Systems Pvt Ltd. Model: EAK-CF-DF-300 Make: Own	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p>As per the demonstration report, specialists have stated the firm has demonstrated Horizontal model in which SS wire mesh basket 2 nos. was not present. No audio visual alarm support for indicating the unsafe temperature deviation and in case of temperature deviation, alarm has to work automatically to safe guard the life saving vaccines. Moreover the hold over time is not achieved. The operating voltage/ ampere-indicators were not present in the control panel and did not meet the specifications and hence not recommended.</p> <p>Also not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/ installation report with one year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 yrs along</p>

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				with the affidavit for the supplies made for them as a manufacturer. The firm has furnished one year satisfactory performance for the dates prior to last 5 years. Hence treated as non-responsive.
2.	M/s. Mech Air Industries.	Rejected on Bid security		
3.	M/s. Godrej & Boyce Mfg Co. Ltd. Make: Own	Responsive (Horizontal Category)	Non - Responsive	Not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/installation report for 15 nos. in any one of the last 5 years with one year satisfactory performance for the supplies made by them as a Manufacturer, Cash credit limit certificate.
4.	M/s. Sun Biomedical Pvt Ltd Model: DF-40U 300L Make: M/s. Terumo Penpol Ltd	Responsive (Vertical Category)	Responsive	-----

V. SCH A- VACCINE CARRIER 3 LTRS- 1000 NOS & SCH B- VACCINE CARRIER 5 LTRS-500 NOS.

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
1.	M/s. RL Healthcare (3.5 & 6 litres) Model: BDVC46-3.5 ltrs RCB264SL-6 ltrs	Responsive	Non-Responsive	Not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/ installation report with one year satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 yrs along with the affidavit for the

Sl. No	Name of the firm	Technical evaluation report	Commercial evaluation report	Reason for rejection
				supplies made by them as a non-manufacturer and also for their manufacturer supply, Annual turnover statement, Profit & Loss account statement, balance sheet, Cash credit limit certificate, manufacturing capacity certificate etc.
2.	M/s. Alan Medical & Laboratory Products (3 & 5 litres) Make: M/s. Hindustan Medical Devices Inc	Responsive	Responsive	-----
3.	M/s. Sri Sai Mercury Surgicals (2.46 & 6 Litres) Model: AVC-46-2.4ltrs ACB-264SL-6ltrs Make: M/s. AOV International LLP	Non-Responsive (2.4 litres) Responsive (6 litres)	Non-Responsive	3 litres- As per the evaluation report, capacity of the vaccine carrier is 2.46litre against the tender requirement of 3 litres, hence not recommended. 5- litres- Not furnished end user certificates with supporting documents viz PO/installation report along with the affidavit with 1 yr satisfactory performance in any one of the last 5 yrs for the supplies made by their manufacturer. Hence non-responsive.
4.	M/s. Mech Air Industries (2.73 & 6 litres) Make: Own	Rejected on Bid security		