

**TENDER FOR FIXING RATE CONTRACT FOR VARIOUS IMPLANTS TO  
DEPARTMENT OF ORTHOPAEDICS, PLASTIC SURGERY, CARDIOLOGY  
AND ENT**

**Tender No.591/IMPLANTS/RC/TNHSP/TNMSC/ENGG/2020,  
Dt.31.07.2020**

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
<b>Orthopaedics Dept.</b>					
<b>Sch I (a) Total Hip replacement -Cemented</b>					
1	M/s.Gesco Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Own Make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b> As per the sample evaluation report, the firm do not comply to the following specification points:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Pods not present against the requirement of design should incorporate cement-thickness equalizing pods and a continuous extended pressurizing flange that provides a uniform thickness of cement around the cup to even the load transfer to the cement and bone.</li> <li>•The cemented all polyethylene XLPE acetabular components outer size is only 42-56 mm against the requirement of 40 to 60 mm.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended by the</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>Specialist.  <b>In commercial points:</b>            1.Documentary evidence like P.O / Invoice not furnished for the supply mentioned in the affidavit.            Hence not recommended.</p>
2	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own Make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b>            As per the sample evaluation report, the firm do not comply to the following specification points:-            •Vertical grooves and pods are not present against the requirement of design should incorporate cement-thickness equalizing pods and a continuous extended pressurizing flange that provides a uniform thickness of cement around the cup to even the load transfer to the cement and bone.            Hence not recommended by the specialists.  <b>In commercial points:</b>            1. Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					Hence not recommended.
3	M/s.Dr. Remedies Health Care (I) Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Meril Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
4	M/s.Orthotech India Pvt. Ltd.	Own make	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
5	M/s.Smith & Nephew Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Own make	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch I (b) Total Hip replacement -Uncemented</b>					
1	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b>  As per the sample evaluation report, the firm do not comply to the following specification points:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The shells should feature rough coat porous coating <b>or poro coat</b> like coating rough coat provides a scratch - fit and enhances initial friction and stability.</li> <li>•The porous coat should have 2-3 bead layering which should have a 20-40% average porosity and <b>170 to 250</b> microns average pore size which promotes bone in-growth. The sintered beads should provide a three-dimensional interlock with bone that plasma spray cannot offer.</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					Hence not recommended by the specialists. <b>In commercial points:</b> 1.Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract. Hence not recommended.
2	M/s.Dr. Remedies Health Care (I) Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Meril Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
3	M/s.Orthotech India Pvt. Ltd.	Own make	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
4	M/s.Smith & Nephew Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Own make	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch II (a) Total Knee replacement</b>					
1	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<b>In technical points:</b> As per the sample evaluation report, the demonstrated instrument does not match with the following tender specification points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• "Femoral component should have a deeper, more lateralized torchlear groove improves patellar contact and tracking. Should have options like cruciate substituting/ cruciate retaining components".</li> <li>• PS and CR inserts are not available against the</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>requirement of system should be thoughtful versatility reduces number of implant components yet significantly expands your clinical options like same femoral is compatible with CR insert and Dished insert or PS insert (all sizes to be provided). Hence not recommended by the Specialist.</p> <p><b>In commercial points:</b>  1. Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract. Hence not recommended.</p>
2	M/s.Dr. Remedies Health Care (I) Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Meril Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b>  As per the sample evaluation report, the demonstrated instrument does not match with the following tender specification points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proximal surface of tibial base plate should be highly polished to reduce back side wear of the insert.</li> <li>• Tibial base plate should be compatible to attach the stem extension when it requires.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended by the Specialist.</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
3	M/s.Smith & Nephew Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Own	Responsive	Responsive	Nil

**Sch II (b) Unicompartmental Knee replacement**

1	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b> The quoted model was not demonstrated to the Specialist.</p> <p><b>In commercial points:</b> 1. Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract. Hence not recommended.</p>
---	----------------------------------	----------	----------------	----------------	---

**Sch II (c) Hinged Knee replacement**

1	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own make	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In technical points:</b> The quoted model was not demonstrated to the Specialist.</p> <p><b>In commercial points:</b> 1.Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract. Hence not recommended.</p>
---	----------------------------------	----------	----------------	----------------	--

**Dept. of Plastic surgery**

- Sch III (a) Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 2 hole with gap**  
**(b) Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 4 hole with gap**  
**(c) Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 6 hole without gap**  
**(d) Titanium Mini 2mm x 10mm screws**  
**(e) Titanium Mini 2mm x 8mm screws**

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
<p><b>(f) Titanium Mini 2mm x 6mm screws</b>  <b>(g) Mandibular reconstruction plate without condyle (titanium)</b>  <b>(h) Mandibular reconstruction plate with condyle (titanium)</b>  <b>(i) Titanium mini plate 2 mm "L" plate 5 holes</b>  <b>(j) Titanium mini plate 2 mm "Y" plate 5 holes</b>  <b>(k) Titanium mini plate for orbital floor reconstruction</b></p>					
1	M/s.Esquire Surgicals	S.H.Pitkar Orthotools Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
2	M/s.Gesco Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.	Own make	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
3	M/s.Chennai Medicco Surgicals	G.R.Bioure Surgical System Pvt.Ltd.	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
4	M/s.Sharma Ortho System Pvt. Ltd	Own make	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b>  1.Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.  Hence not recommended.</p>
5	M/s.Akil Enterprises	Opt1.Micro health care - Indian make Opt2.M/s.Medicon, Germany	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness in technical evaluation.	<p><b>In technical points:</b>  As per the sample demonstration report, the following are not satisfied by/ not demonstrated to the Specialist:-  For option I,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 2 hole with gap</li> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 4 hole with gap</li> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 6 hole without gap</li> <li>• Mandibular reconstruction</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>plate without condyle (titanium)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mandibular reconstruction plate with condyle (titanium)</li> <li>• Titanium mesh plate for orbital floor reconstruction</li> </ul> <p>For option II,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 2 hole with gap</li> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 4 hole with gap</li> <li>• Titanium Mini plate 2 mm 6 hole without gap</li> <li>• Titanium Mini 2mm x 10mm screws</li> <li>• Titanium Mini 2mm x 8mm screws</li> <li>• Titanium Mini 2mm x 6mm screws</li> <li>• Mandibular reconstruction plate without condyle (titanium)</li> <li>• Mandibular reconstruction plate with condyle (titanium)</li> <li>• Titanium mesh plate for orbital floor reconstruction</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended by the Specialist.</p>
6	M/s. Dynamed Equipments	M/s.Stryker India Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness in technical evaluation.	<p><b>In technical points:</b> As per the sample demonstration report, the firm do not comply to the following tender specification:-Titanium Mini plate 2mm 2 hole</p>



Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>with gap – Not Shown</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quoted model does not the match the tender specification requirement of Mandibular reconstruction plate without condyle (titanium)</li> <li>• Quoted model does not the match the tender specification requirement of Mandibular reconstruction plate with condyle (titanium)</li> <li>• Only 4 holed plates available instead of the tender specification requirement of Titanium mini plate 2 mm “L” plate 5 holes</li> <li>• Quoted model does not the match the tender specification requirement of Titanium mesh plate for orbital floor reconstruction.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended by the Specialist.</p>
7	M/s.Indian Orthocare Surgicals	M/s.JEIL Medical Corporation	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness in technical evaluation.	<p><b>In technical points:</b></p> <p>The item Mandibular reconstruction plate with condyle (titanium) was not quoted.</p> <p>As per the tender condition, the bidder should quote for all the item listed in each schedule III, VI, VII, IX &amp; X and not quoting for any</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					items in a schedule will be treated as non-responsive.
<b>Dept. of Cardiology</b>					
<b>Sch. IV (a) Bi-leaflet low profile valve</b>					
1	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Medtronic Open Pivot Heart valve	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
2	M/s.S.M.Medicare	St.Jude Mechanical valve	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b>  The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Delivery schedule, payment terms, warranty terms was not confirmed as per the tender document.</li> <li>• Not furnished a copy of valid license from M/s.Jude for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					recommended.
3	M/s.Meril Lifesciences India Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Miltonia	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not confirmed warranty terms as per tender document.</li> <li>• Against the requirement of 30 nos., P.O. is furnished for 19 nos. with no end user certificate. Hence not recommended.</li> </ul>
<b>Sch IV (b) Single leaflet tilting disc valve</b>					
1	M/s.Immune Bio Medical Systems	M/s.TTK Healthcare Limited	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch IV (c) Pericardial tissue valve</b>					
1	M/s.Veiva Scientific India Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Edwards Lifesciences (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance Statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Signed copy of corrigendum not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity and payment terms not confirmed as per tender document.</li> <li>• A copy of valid licence not furnished from the manufacturer for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> <li>• Affidavit not furnished conforming that the performance statement given is correct along with copy of purchase order, copy of invoices, and proof of payment received from purchasers, documentary evidence (end user certificate) in support of satisfactory completion of orders and function as stated above.</li> <li>• Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply, P.O./Invoice furnished with no end user certificate.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
2	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/Avalus	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
3	M/s.S.M.Medicare	St.Jude Trifecta valve	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Delivery schedule, payment terms, warranty terms was not confirmed as per the tender document.</li> <li>• Not furnished a copy of valid license from M/s.Jude for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
4	M/s.Meril Lifesciences India Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Flomero	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not confirmed warranty terms as per tender document.</li> <li>• Against the requirement of 30 nos., P.O. is furnished for 19 nos. with</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					no end user certificate. Hence not recommended.
<b>Sch IV (d) Stented Porcine tissue valve</b>					
1	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Hancock-II	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
2	M/s.S.M.Medicare	St.Jude/ valve Epic	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Delivery schedule, payment terms, warranty terms was not confirmed as per the tender document.</li> <li>• Not furnished a copy of valid license from M/s.Jude for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
<b>Sch V (a) Mitral Rigid ring</b>					
1	M/s.Veiva Scientific India Pvt. Ltd.	Edwards	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b>  The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance Statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Signed copy of corrigendum not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity and payment terms not confirmed as per tender document.</li> <li>• A copy of valid licence not furnished from the manufacturer for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> <li>• Affidavit not furnished conforming that the performance statement given is correct along with copy of purchase order, copy of invoices, and proof of</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>payment received from purchasers, documentary evidence (end user certificate) in support of satisfactory completion of orders and function as stated above.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 19 nos. with no end user certificate.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
2	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Profile 3D	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch V (b) Mitral Semi rigid ring</b>					
1	M/s.Veiva Scientific India Pvt. Ltd.	Edwards	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Performance Statement not furnished.</li> <li>•Signed copy of corrigendum not furnished.</li> <li>•Bid validity and payment terms not confirmed as per tender document.</li> <li>•A copy of valid licence not furnished from the manufacturer for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and</li> </ul>



Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>Cosmetics Act.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>•Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> <li>•Affidavit not furnished conforming that the performance statement given is correct along with copy of purchase order, copy of invoices, and proof of payment received from purchasers, documentary evidence (end user certificate) in support of satisfactory completion of orders and function as stated above.</li> <li>•Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 19 nos. with no end user certificate.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
2	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ CG Future	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch V (c) Tricuspid rigid ring</b>					
1	M/s.Veiva	Edward	Responsive	Non-	<b>In commercial points:</b>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
	Scientific India Pvt. Ltd.			Responsive	<p>The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Performance Statement not furnished.</li> <li>•Signed copy of corrigendum not furnished.</li> <li>•Bid validity and payment terms not confirmed as per tender document.</li> <li>•A copy of valid licence not furnished from the manufacturer for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>•Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>•Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract.</li> <li>•Affidavit not furnished conforming that the performance statement given is correct along with copy of purchase order, copy of invoices, and proof of payment received from purchasers,</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					documentary evidence (end user certificate) in support of satisfactory completion of orders and function as stated above. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 19 nos. with no end user certificate.</li> </ul> Hence not recommended.
2	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Contour 3D	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch VI Intra aortic balloon Catheter</b>					
1	M/s.Visionary Medtech Solution Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Teleflex Medical Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Documentary evidence of constitution of firm such as Memorandum of Articles, Partnership Deed, etc., not furnished</li> <li>•A copy of valid licence not furnished from the manufacturer for manufacture, store and distribute, governed under the relevant provision of Drugs and Cosmetics Act.</li> <li>•Manufacturing capacity as per the scheduled quantity certified by</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>licensing authority is not furnished</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply for manufacturer, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 8 nos. and against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply for non-manufacturer, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 39 nos. Hence not recommended.</li> </ul>
2	M/s.S.M.Medicare	M/s. Gentinge Medical India Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performance statement not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Delivery schedule, payment terms, warranty terms was not confirmed as per the tender document.</li> <li>• Non-conviction certificate issued by the licensing authority was not furnished.</li> <li>• Manufacturing capacity as per the scheduled quantity certified by licensing authority not furnished</li> <li>• Cash/ credit limit certificate for Rs.25.00 lakhs for Schedule I to</li> </ul>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					X was not furnished from their bankers exclusively for this contract. Hence not recommended.
3	M/s.Meril Lifesciences India Pvt. Ltd	Own/ Myosist	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:- •Warranty terms as per bid document not confirmed. •Against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply for manufacturer, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 8 nos. and against the requirement of 30 nos. of supply for non-manufacturer, P.O./Invoice furnished only for 39 nos. Hence not recommended.

**Sch VII Drug Eluting Stent**

1	M/s.Nano Therapeutics Pvt. Ltd.	Own/Evermax	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
2	M/s.Relisys Medical Devices Ltd.	Own/ Release-R	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
3	M/s.3G Medical Systems	Kamal Encon Industries Ltd., NewDelhi/ Opt1CoCr Stenoflex Opt2.CoCr Trackmaster	Responsive	Responsive	Nil

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
		Opt3. CoCr Everoshine			
4	M/s.Dr. Remedies Health Care (I) Pvt. Ltd.	M/s.Meril Life Science/ Proficient	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
5	M/s.Alto Medical Systems (P) Ltd.	M/s.Sahajanand Medical Technologies Pvt. Ltd. / Everoflex	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Manufacturing capacity furnished is not matched the scheduled quantity of the tender.</li> <li>•Non Conviction certificate as per Drug and Cosmetics act issued by licensing authority not furnished.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
6	M/s.Davey Pharma and Surgicals	M/s.Abbott Healthcare pvt.Ltd Opt1. XienceV Opt2.Xience Prime	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
7	M/s.Envision Scientific Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Mitigator	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
8	M/s.India Medtronic Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Opt(1) Endeavour Resolute Opt(2)Resolute Integrity Opt(3)Onyx	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
9	M/s.Trans Healthcare India Pvt. Ltd.	Translumina Therapeutics LLp, Dehradun Opt (1) Racer CC, Opt (2) Yukon	Responsive	Responsive	Nil

**Sch VIII (a) ASD Devices**

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
1	M/s.Medibiotek (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Rivarp-Phoenix/ OccluNix	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness in technical evaluation.	Sample not provided during demonstration.
2	M/s.ABC Care Product	M/s. Lepu Medical	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bid document signed at all pages not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Payment terms, Delivery schedule as per tender document not confirmed.</li> <li>• Non Conviction certificate as per Drug and Cosmetics act issued by licensing authority not furnished.</li> <li>• Manufacturing capacity as per the scheduled quantity of the tender not furnished.</li> <li>• Against the requirement of 1 no. of supply for manufacturer and 1 no for non-manufacturer, no supply is shown</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
3	M/s.Alto Medical Systems (P) Ltd.	M/s.Abbott - St. Jude Medical	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following</p>

Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>documents though given chances to furnish:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manufacturing capacity furnished as per scheduled quantity certified by licensing authority/ chartered accountant is not furnished.</li> <li>• Non Conviction certificate as per Drug and Cosmetics act issued by licensing authority not furnished.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
<b>Sch VIII (b) PDA Devices</b>					
1	M/s.Medibiotek (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Rivarp-Phoenix	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness in technical evaluation.	Sample not provided during demonstration.
2	M/s.ABC Care Product	M/s.Lepu Medical	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b></p> <p>The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bid document signed at all pages not furnished.</li> <li>• Bid validity, Payment terms, Delivery schedule as per tender document not confirmed.</li> <li>• Non Conviction certificate as per Drug and Cosmetics act issued by licensing</li> </ul>



Sl. No.	Bidders Quoted	Make/ Model	Technical Evaluation Report	Commercial Evaluation Report	Reason for Rejection
					<p>authority not furnished.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manufacturing capacity as per the scheduled quantity of the tender not furnished.</li> <li>• Against the requirement of 1 no. of supply for manufacturer and 1 no for non-manufacturer, no supply is shown Hence not recommended.</li> </ul>
3	M/s.Alto Medical Systems (P) Ltd.	M/s.Abbott - St. Jude Medical	Responsive	Non-Responsive	<p><b>In commercial points:</b> The firm has not furnished the following documents though given chances to furnish:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Manufacturing capacity furnished as per scheduled quantity certified by licensing authority/ chartered accountant is not furnished.</li> <li>• Non Conviction certificate as per Drug and Cosmetics act issued by licensing authority not furnished.</li> </ul> <p>Hence not recommended.</p>
<b>Dept. of ENT</b>					
<b>Sch. IX Cochlear implant</b>					
1	M/s.Cochlear Medical Device Company India	Own / Cochlear Nucleus CI422 Cochlear	Non-Responsive	Not taken up in view of non-responsiveness	<p><b>In technical points:</b> As per the technical evaluation report, the firm</p>

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Bidders Quoted</b>	<b>Make/ Model</b>	<b>Technical Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Commercial Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Reason for Rejection</b>
	Pvt. Ltd.	implant with straight electrode		in technical evaluation.	do not comply to the following specification point:- Speech Processor, Test device, Coil, Frames, Battery pack, Fine tuner: Only 3 years quoted against the requirement of 4 years from the date of switch on. Hence not recommended by the Specialist.
2	M/s.MED-EL India Private Limited	Med-EI/ Sonata TI100	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
<b>Sch. X Hearing Aid</b>					
1	M/s.Astra Hearing Care	M/s.Starkey Laboratories India Pvt. Ltd./ Opt1.NuearAries, Opt2.Nuear Aries Pro, Opt3. Nuear Aries Pro 675	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
2	M/s.Starkey Laboratories India Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Opt1.Aries, Opt2.Aries Pro, Opt3.AriesPro675	Responsive	Responsive	Nil
3	M/s.Alps International Pvt. Ltd.	Own/ Opt1TurboC(Mild) Opt2.TurboC (Moderate); Opt3.TurboC (Extra Strong)	Responsive	Responsive	Nil